

**Appendix 2
Agenda Item No. 5**

| Organisation/Representative Group or Business | Comment | Officer Comment | Changes to Wyre Forest Policy |
|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| Councillor – WFDC (no name declared) | <p>In reply to question 1: Do you think the policy achieves this balance? Answer: Yes</p> <p>In reply to question 3: Is there anything in the policy that you disagree with? Answer: Happy as it stands</p> <p>In reply to question 4 any other comments. Answer: Want the Government test for fit and proper person test for publicans</p> | <p>No change</p> <p>Comment on question 4 is not relevant to the policy</p> | None |
| Councillor Marcus Hart | <p>In reply to question 1: Do you think the policy achieves this balance? Answer: Yes I do</p> <p>In reply to question 2: Is there anything we have left out that you feel is relevant and should be included? Answer: I believe the fit and proper person section for pub landlords should be re-introduced.</p> <p>In reply to question 3: Is there anything in the policy that you disagree with? Answer: None</p> <p>In reply to question 4 any other comments. Answer: The policy works well overall and has been well received by the various bosses involved. No changes need to be made</p> | <p>No change</p> <p>Comment on question 4 is not relevant to the policy</p> | None |
| Responsible Authority – Fire | <p>In reply to question 1: Do you think the policy achieves this balance?</p> | No change | None |

**Appendix 2
Agenda Item No. 5**

| Organisation/Representative Group or Business | Comment | Officer Comment | Changes to Wyre Forest Policy |
|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| Service | Answer: Yes | | |
| Chaddesley Corbett Parish Council | <p>In reply to question 1: Do you think the policy achieves this balance? Answer: No. The guidance lacks clarity, ie the village hall is a multi-occupancy, multi-user facility and is used by many different organisations. Many admit families and children. Also the point-to-point racecourse is a multi-occupancy, multi-user facility and attracts children, many are unaccompanied</p> <p>In reply to question 2: Is there anything we have left out that you feel is relevant and should be included? Answer: No omissions</p> <p>In reply to question 3: Is there anything in the policy that you disagree with? Answer: Yes. 1. Evidential reasons should be established for the refusal of applications. 2. Complaints should be a matter of public information whether upheld or dismissed. Transparency is very important.</p> <p>In reply to question 4 any other comments. Answer: Prior consultation should be mandatory and opinions sought from parish and town councils.</p> | <p>No change</p> <p>Comments on question 3 are not relevant to the policy</p> <p>Comment on question 4 is not relevant to the policy</p> | None |
| Bewdley Town Council | In reply to question 1: Do you think the policy achieves this balance? | No change | None |

**Appendix 2
Agenda Item No. 5**

| Organisation/Representative Group or Business | Comment | Officer Comment | Changes to Wyre Forest Policy |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| | <p>Answer: Yes</p> <p>In reply to question 2: Is there anything we have left out that you feel is relevant and should be included?</p> <p>Answer: It does not seem immediately apparent how an interested party becomes aware of an application and whether it is the District Council's responsibility to carry out consultation in a similar manner to a planning application.</p> <p>In reply to question 3: Is there anything in the policy that you disagree with?</p> <p>Answer: No</p> | <p>Comment on question 2 is not relevant to the policy as carrying out a consultation process to interested parties is not a requirement under the Gambling Act 2005</p> | |
| <p>Councillor – WFDC (no name declared)</p> | <p>In reply to question 1: Do you think the policy achieves this balance?</p> <p>Answer : Yes</p> | <p>No change</p> | <p>None</p> |