

**WYRE FOREST DISTRICT COUNCIL**

**PLANNING COMMITTEE**

**13<sup>TH</sup> JULY 2010**

**Monthly progress report on performance against NI 157 targets for determining planning applications**

<b>OPEN</b>	
<b>DIRECTOR:</b>	Director of Planning and Regulatory Services
<b>CONTACT OFFICER:</b>	John Baggott – Extension 2515 John.Baggott@wyreforestdc.gov.uk
<b>APPENDICES:</b>	None

**1. PURPOSE OF REPORT**

- 1.1 To provide Members with a monthly progress report on performance against national indicators (NI 157, formerly BV 109).

**2. RECOMMENDATION**

- 2.1 **That the report be noted.**

**3. BACKGROUND**

- 3.1 At Full Council in May 2006 it was agreed as part of the Recovery Plan that a report on the performance against best value performance indicators (BVPI 109, now NI 157) be reported to the Planning (Development Control) Committee on a monthly basis. The first of the series of monthly reports was reported to the Planning (Development Control) Committee on 13<sup>th</sup> June 2006.

- 3.2 The national targets for determining planning applications are as follows:

NI 157 a (Major applications)	-	60% within 13 weeks.
NI 157 b (Minor applications)	-	65% within 8 weeks.
NI 157 c (other applications)	-	80% within 8 weeks.

3.3 In addition to these national targets there are Local targets set out within the Business Plan for 2008/09. These are as follows:

- Major applications - 65% within 13 weeks.
- Minor applications - 80% within 8 weeks.
- Other applications - 90% within 8 weeks.

**4. PERFORMANCE**

**Major applications**

4.1 The following table shows the quarterly performance figures for major applications for the period from 1<sup>st</sup> January 2008 to 31<sup>st</sup> March 2010 (performance figures predating 1<sup>st</sup> January 2008 have been reported to previous Planning Committee meetings, and are available on request). It also shows the performance at the time of compiling the report within the 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter of 2010/11 although the relevant period did not end until 30<sup>th</sup> June 2010 and as such these figures may be subject to further change.

4.2 As previously advised, due to the continued low number of new major applications that have been received over recent months, performance in this area has suffered due to the number of older, more complex, major applications which have reached final determination and which have already gone beyond 13 weeks. Whilst every effort will continue to be made to manage these applications effectively, it is feared that performance may be affected in future quarters.

Quarter	No. determined	No. determined within 13 weeks	% determined within 13 weeks
1 January – 31 March 2008	7	6	85.71%
1 April – 30 June 2008	14	11	78.57%
1 July – 30 September 2008	10	6	60%
1 October – 31 December 2008	5	4	80%
1 January – 31 March 2009	4	4	100%
1 April – 30 June 2009	3	2	66.67%
1 July – 30 September 2009	5	2	40%
1 October – 31 December 2009	9	8	88.89%

1 January – 31 March 2010	5	3	60%
1 April – 30 June 2010 (figures taken 28 June 2010)	9	6	66.67%

**Minor applications**

- 4.3 The following table shows the quarterly performance figures for minor applications for the period from 1<sup>st</sup> January 2008 to 30<sup>th</sup> March 2010 (performance figures predating 1<sup>st</sup> January 2008 have been reported to previous Planning Committee meetings, and are available on request). It also shows the performance at the time of compiling the report within the 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter of 2010/11 although the relevant period did not end until 30<sup>th</sup> June 2010 and, as such, these figures may be subject to further change. Performance within this category has consistently met the national targets, but after exceeding the local target during the 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter of 2009/10 it slipped back below the local target during the 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter. This trend continues and upon close inspection, the actual number of minor applications being received has tailed off over recent quarters, which in turn has increased the challenge of meeting the performance targets.

Quarter	No. determined	No. determined within 8 weeks	% determined within 8 weeks
1 January – 31 March 2008	68	60	88.24%
1 April – 30 June 2008	69	52	75.36%
1 July – 30 September 2008	57	43	75.44% (as corrected)
1 October – 31 December 2008	55	42	76.36%
1 January – 31 March 2009	41	31	75.61%
1 April – 30 June 2009	56	47	83.93%
1 July – 30 September 2009	40	31	77.50%
1 October – 31 December 2009	50	37	74%
1 January – 31 March 2010	33	24	72.73%
1 April – 30 June 2010 (figures taken 28 June 2010)	29	23	79.31%

**Other applications**

- 4.4 The following table shows the quarterly performance figures for other applications for the period from 1<sup>st</sup> January 2008 to 30<sup>th</sup> March 2010 (performance figures predating 1<sup>st</sup> January 2008 have been reported to previous Planning Committee meetings, and are available on request). It also shows the performance at the time of compiling the report within the 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter of 2010/11 although the relevant period did not end until 30<sup>th</sup> June 2010 and, as such, these figures may be subject to further change.

Quarter	No. determined	No. determined within 8 weeks	% determined within 8 weeks
1 January – 31 March 2008	172	142	82.56%
1 April – 30 June 2008	185	170	91.89%
1 July – 30 September 2008	162	144	88.89%
1 October – 31 December 2008	113	100	88.5%
1 January – 31 March 2009	99	89	89.9%
1 April – 30 June 2009	129	114	88.37%
1 July – 30 September 2009	135	115	85.19%
1 October – 31 December 2009	94	80	85.11%
1 January – 31 March 2010	84	72	85.71%
1 April – 30 June 2010 (figures taken 28 June 2010)	120	108	90.00%

**5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

- 5.1 There are no financial implications.

**6. LEGAL AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

- 6.1 There are no legal or policy implications.

**7. RISK MANAGEMENT**

- 7.1 There are risk management issues if performance continues to fail to meet the national targets.

**8. EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

- 8.1 This report relates to the analysis of performance levels against national and local indicators. There are no equality impact issues to be addressed.

**9. CONCLUSION**

- 9.1 Members are advised of continued concerns in respect of the performance against major applications targets, due to the low number of new major applications being received which would offset the older, more complex, major applications which are nearing determination. Similarly, performance against minor applications has suffered, and every effort is being made, insofar as is possible, to manage new applications effectively to ensure that performance in this area shows an improvement during future quarters.
- 9.2 The Council has no control over the number and timing of applications being submitted for determination, but undoubtedly the current economic downturn is having an impact upon the number of new applications, in all categories, which have been received over recent months.

**10. CONSULTEES**

- 10.1 None

**11. BACKGROUND PAPERS**

- Report on Recovery Plan (Full Council) – May 2006
- Monthly progress reports – Planning (DC) Committee (June 2006 – May 2009)
- DCLG : Planning Performance Statistics – Planning (DC) Committee (August 2006 – May 2009)
- Monthly progress reports – Planning Committee (June 2009 – June 2010)
- DCLG : Planning Performance Statistics – Planning Committee (August 2009 – May 2010)