## WYRE FOREST DISTRICT COUNCIL

## **PLANNING COMMITTEE**

## 19<sup>TH</sup> JULY 2016

## ADDENDA AND CORRECTIONS

REFERENCE NO.	PAGE	ADDENDA AND CORRECTIONS
PART A		
15/0170/FULL	11	Officer Comment - In respect of Safety, whilst detail is included at Paragraphs 4.27 and 4.28 WPD (the Applicants) have confirmed the following: A concern from a third party is that WPD, in submitting a similar application elsewhere, stated that they had an operational restriction which prevents them from locating a mast closer to any existing electrical equipment than a distance less than 1.4 times the height of the proposed mast. The concern raised is that the scheme as proposed clearly breaches what was stated by WPD as a technical requirement. WPD have responded on this matter and have clarified that the 1.4 multiplier distance is a safety guideline only and that the site specific constraints must be taken into account. Due to the size of mast required at the Tram Street site and the limited site area, then such distances are not possible. However, the mast has been carefully designed so that the weight of the base is greater than that of the tower. It is thus impossible for the tower to topple unless it is cut from its base, and for that reason is enclosed within its own palisade fence compound within the existing high security palisade fenced compound at the grid substation to ensure maximum security of the tower.
16/0297/FULL	34	Kidderminster Town Council – No objection
		Officer Comment – The sole objector to this application, who had also registered to speak against the application at Planning Committee, has now formally withdrawn his objections and in turn no longer wishes to address the Planning Committee.

REFERENCE NO.	PAGE	ADDENDA AND CORRECTIONS
		The objector having registered to speak was the only reason that this application could not be dealt with under the Officer Scheme of Delegation. Under these circumstances, whilst the application appears on the Committee Agenda under Part A, an Officer presentation is now no longer deemed necessary and the application can be dealt with as if it were a Part B application.
PART B		
16/0041/EIA	39	Officer Comment - Paragraph 3.5 : Point of clarification - At Paragraph 3.5 of the Committee report the Countryside and Conservation Officer's comments refer to a 'poison' resulting from the use of bio bullets; this requires clarification as follows: Biobullets are used to cleanse pipework and prevent the growth of zebra mussel. There can be a threat to wildlife where there is a risk of them returning to a watercourse via any sweetening flow. The risk at the Trimpley site is minimal due to the system being a 'closed' system with several components which would allow any biobullets to be extracted from the treated water before being transported from site or being deposited into any existing water feature (reservoir) and, as such, the risk of contamination is low. The risk is further lessened by the fact that the biobullets are toxic only for two hours so that there is very little risk of a cumulative risk of contamination.  It should also be noted that dosing must be undertaken when water temperatures are greater than 12°C and the intention is to only use the proposed development during the autumn/winter months so it is possible the dosing may not be used at all.
16/0266/ADVE	59	Add under the heading of 'Reason for Referral to Committee' – The applicant is Wyre Forest District Council or is made on land owned by Wyre Forest District Council