# NOTICE OF DELEGATION OF DECISION TO CABINET MEMBER BY STRONG LEADER

Section 15(4) of the Local Government Act 2000, the senior executive member may discharge any of the functions that are the responsibility of the Cabinet or may arrange for them to be discharged by another member of the Cabinet or Officer. On 1st December 2010, the Council adopted the Strong Leader Model for Corporate Governance 2011 as required under Part 3 of The Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007 (The 2007 Act).

I, M. Hart, as Strong Leader, delegate the decision to the Cabinet Member detailed below:

To approve the tender evaluation model proposed for the purchase of x 2 26000kg GVW Refuse collection vehicles, as per paragraph 9 of the Contract Procedure Rules. The delegate authority to the Corporate Director - Community, Well-Being and Environment, in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Operational Services, to appoint a supplier for the purchase of 2 refuse vehicles, following a competitive tender exercise, in line with Contract Procedure Rules.

Cabinet Member for Operational Services

Dated:

Signed:

Leader of the Council—

# NOTICE OF DECISION OF CABINET MEMBER

Council adopted the Strong Leader Model for Corporate Governance 2011 as required under Part 3 of The Local Government and Involvement in Health Act 2007, the senior executive member may discharge any of the functions that are the responsibility of the Cabinet or may arrange for them to be discharged by another member of the Cabinet or Officer. On 1st December 2010, the Pursuant Section 15(4) of the Local Government Act 2000, as amended by section 63 of the Local Government and Public Public Involvement in Health Act 2007 (The 2007 Act).

In accordance with the authority delegated to me / by the Leader (delete as appropriate), I have made the following decision:

Subject	Decision	Reason for decision	Date for Decision to be taken
Supply and Delivery of 2 x 26000kg GVW Refuse Collection Vehicles	To seek Cabinet approval for the tender evaluation model proposed for the purchase of x2 26000kg GVW Refuse collection vehicles, as per paragraph 9 of the Contract	The council approved the capital budget on 22 <sup>nd</sup> February 2017 for the vehicle replacement schedule which included the cost of purchasing two 26000kg GVW Refuse collection vehicles as part of the Council's fleet renewal schedule.	دا/8)01
	Procedure Rules.	The new vehicles are to replace existing vehicles like for like enabling the refuse collection team to maintain operational efficiency.	
		The existing vehicles have reached the end of their economic life of 7 years. The vehicles will be sent to auction to realise their market value as per nationally advised best practice.	

I confirm that the appropriate statutory officer consultation has taken place with regard to this decision.

Dated:

Signed:

Councillor: REGECCA VALE..... Cabinet Member

K J. Valez

To: Cabinet Member for Operational Services, Councillor Becky Vale

From: Corporate Director - Community Wellbeing & Environmental

Date: 7th August 2017

# Supply and Delivery of x 2 26000kg GVW Refuse Collection Vehicles

### 1. PURPOSE

To seek Cabinet approval for the tender evaluation model proposed for the purchase of x 2 26000kg GVW Refuse collection vehicles, as per paragraph 9 of the Contract Procedure Rules.

The report also seeks approval for delegated authority to be given to the Corporate Director - Community, Well-Being and Environment, in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Operational Services, to appoint a supplier for the purchase of 2 refuse vehicles, following a competitive tender exercise.

As the combined contract value is approximately £340,000, this procurement is being carried out in accordance with the Public Contracts Regulations 2015, therefore subject to a strict procurement timetable. The Tender will be advertised on the Official Journal of the European Union (OJEU). The Open Procedure route will be taken and therefore no pre-qualification of suppliers will be carried out.

### 2. RECOMMENDATION

### That the Leader:

Approves the tender evaluation model contained in paragraph 3.1 of the report; and

Grants delegated authority to the Corporate Director - Community Well-Being and Environment in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Operational Services to award the contract, in line with the approved evaluation model.

### 3. BACKGROUND

The council approved the capital budget on 22<sup>nd</sup> February 2017 for the vehicle replacement schedule which included the cost of purchasing two 26000kg GVW Refuse collection vehicles as part of the Council's fleet renewal schedule.

The new vehicles are to replace existing vehicles like for like enabling the refuse collection team to maintain operational efficiency.

The existing vehicles have reached the end of their economic life of 7 years. The vehicles will be sent to auction to realise their market value as per nationally advised best practice.

### 3.1 Evaluation Criteria

Tenders will be evaluated on a Price and Quality basis, 50% Price / 50% Quality.

The quality elements of the tender will be evaluated in accordance with the below criteria.

	Feature	Weighting
1	Delivery	15
2	Warranty	20
3	Load analysis	15
4	Dimensions	10
5	Service support	8
6	Body construction / materials	10
7	Vehicle emissions and other related green policies . Engine specification	5
8	Training	7
9	Features above specification	10

Bidders are required to provide a written response to the questions contained in the tender documents relating to the quality.

The following matrix illustrates how responses to the questions will be assessed. The scores for each question will be used to calculate a percentage weighting based on the weightings attributed to each question in the qualitative assessment.

Performance	Judgement	Score
Exceeds all expectations	Exceptional	10
Exceeds almost all expectations	Outstanding	9
Exceeds most expectations	Very Good	8
Above Expectations	Good	7
Slightly exceeds expectations	Fair	6
Meets expectations	Average	5
Satisfactory but below expectations	Below Average	4
Below expectations	Poor	3
Well below expectations	Weak	2
Almost unacceptable	Very Weak	1
Unacceptable		0

The price assessment will be based on the tender returns and are worth 50% of the overall marks.

The bidder with the lowest tender price will score the maximum score of 50%. The other tenders will be scored pro rata as a percentage of their tender compared with the lowest tender.

Please see the illustrated example below for clarity:

Tender A	Tender B	Tender C	Tender D
100,000	105,000	110,000	120,000
50%	48%	46%	42%

- Tender A is the lowest and scores a maximum of 50%
- Tender B 100,000/105,000 x 0.50 x 100 = 48
- Tender C 100,000/110,000 x 0.50 x 100 = 46
- Tender D 100,000/120,000 x 0.50 x 100 = 42

### 4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATION

The capital budget for the x2 26000kg GVW Refuse collection vehicle is £170,000 each.

The total cost of this procurement is estimated to not exceed £340,000

Budget approval has been granted within the council's capital budget for vehicle replacement.

### 5. LEGAL AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The Contract Procedure Rules require Cabinet approval to enter into contracts where the estimated value of the contract exceeds £340,000.

### 6. CONCLUSION

Due to the value of the vehicles to be purchased, authorisation is required for the proposed evaluation model that will be used to assess the tenders. This model has been provided in section **3.1** of this report.

The successful tender appointment will enable the refuse collection team to deliver a professional, efficient and reliable service through operational efficiencies.

### 7. RISK MANAGEMENT

The Procurement risk is mitigated by utilising the OJEU procurement agreement.

The ability of the refuse collection team to carry out their duties effectively will be compromised if the old vehicles are not replaced.

### 8. EQUALITY IMPACT NEEDS ASSESSMENT

This report relates solely to the procurement of fleet vehicles, the fundamental EU principles of no discrimination and transparency apply to all the procurement exercises, there is no requirement for an Equality Impact Needs Assessment.

# 9. CONSULTEES

Jackie Reed Procurement Officer Steve Brant Operational Services Manager Derek Simmonds Fleet and Garage Manager Sally Tallon Legal Services

# 10. BACKGROUND PAPERS

10.1. None