

Appendix E

**Responses to be withdrawn to the Pre-Submission Publication document consultation
held in 2018**

**The responses in this appendix were entered in the comments to be withdrawn box (3b) of the
Consultation Response Form 2019**

APPENDIX E - RESPONSES TO BE WITHDRAWN FROM 2018 CONSULTATION

The following comments were entered in the comments to be withdrawn box (3b) of the Consultation Response Form 2019.

Respondent	Response No.	Respondent to 2018 Consultation?	If you would like to withdraw any / all of your previous comments please specify which ones	
Natural England	RLPPS266	Yes	<p><u>Sustainability appraisal (SA) comment re WFR/WC/15 Lea Castle Hospital site</u></p> <p>Reason: Our original concerns regarding hydrological linkage and issues focusing on water supply and water quality in respect of the Hurcott and Podmore Pools SSSI are explicitly addressed in the Kidderminster north Green Infrastructure Concept Plan, compliance with which forms a criterion in the list of principles for development of this site.</p> <p><u>Policy 31.2 Lea Castle Village principles of development</u></p> <p>Reason: As above – the N.Kidderminster GI Concept Plan addresses the water supply and water quality issue.</p> <p><u>SA comment re BR/BE/6 Land off Highclere</u></p> <p>Reason – this site no longer forms part of the plan’s proposed allocations.</p> <p><u>SA comment on OC13 Land at Stone Hill</u></p> <p>Reason – SA makes clear reference to hydrological connection with Wilden Marsh & Meadows SSSI.</p> <p><u>Policy 14 b(iii) proportion of land allocated to GI within developments</u></p> <p>Reason – Policy 11D (Biodiversity) and NPPF provisions regarding previously developed land (e.g. glossary definition and relevant exclusions) provide adequate scope for site specific decisions on GI delivery on previously developed land.</p>	Full response RLPPS266 can be found at Appendix C (page 8)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	RLPPS282	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All other comments in respect of other sites remain.	Full response RLPPS282 can be found at Appendix C (page 13)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	RLPPS185	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All other comments in respect of other sites remain.	Full response RLPPS185 can be found at Appendix C (page 16)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	RLPPS268	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All other comments in respect of other sites remain.	Full response RLPPS268 can be found at Appendix C (page 21)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	RLPPS267	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All other comments in respect of other sites remain.	Full response RLPPS267 can be found at Appendix C (page 35)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	RLPPS269	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All other comments in respect of other sites remain.	Full response RLPPS269 can be found at Appendix C (page 40)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	RLPPS270	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All other comments in respect of other sites remain.	Full response RLPPS270 can be found at Appendix C (page 43)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	RLPPS271	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All other comments in respect of other sites remain.	Full response RLPPS271 can be found at Appendix C (page 57)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	RLPPS272	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All other comments in respect of other sites remain.	Full response RLPPS272 can be found at Appendix C (page 61)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	RLPPS273	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All other comments in respect of other sites remain.	Full response RLPPS273 can be found at Appendix C (page 61)

APPENDIX E - RESPONSES TO BE WITHDRAWN FROM 2018 CONSULTATION

The following comments were entered in the comments to be withdrawn box (3b) of the Consultation Response Form 2019.

Respondent	Response No.	Respondent to 2018 Consultation?	If you would like to withdraw any / all of your previous comments please specify which ones	
			other comments in respect of other sites remain.	found at Appendix C (page 65)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	RLPPS274	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All other comments in respect of other sites remain.	Full response RLPPS274 can be found at Appendix C (page 69)
Natural England	RLPPS202	Yes	<p><u>Sustainability appraisal (SA) comment re WFR/WC/15 Lea Castle Hospital site</u></p> <p>Reason: Our original concerns regarding hydrological linkage and issues focusing on water supply and water quality in respect of the Hurcott and Podmore Pools SSSI are explicitly addressed in the Kidderminster north Green Infrastructure Concept Plan, compliance with which forms a criterion in the list of principles for development of this site.</p> <p><u>Policy 31.2 Lea Castle Village principles of development</u></p> <p>Reason:</p> <p>As above – the N.Kidderminster GI Concept Plan addresses the water supply and water quality issue.</p> <p><u>SA comment re BR/BE/6 Land off Highclere</u></p> <p>Reason – this site no longer forms part of the plan's proposed allocations.</p> <p><u>SA comment on OC13 Land at Stone Hill</u></p> <p>Reason – SA makes clear reference to hydrological connection with Wilden Marsh & Meadows SSSI.</p> <p><u>Policy 14 b(iii) proportion of land allocated to GI within developments</u></p> <p>Reason – Policy 11D (Biodiversity) and NPPF provisions regarding previously developed land (e.g. glossary definition and relevant exclusions) provide adequate scope for site specific decisions on GI delivery on previously developed land.</p>	Full response RLPPS202 can be found at Appendix C (page 79)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	RLPPS275	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All other comments in respect of other sites remain.	Full response RLPPS275 can be found at Appendix (page 87)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	RLPPS276	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All other comments in respect of other sites remain.	Full response RLPPS276 can be found at Appendix C (page 102)
Sport England	RLPPS168	Yes	Sport England has previously made representations in respect of policy 14 (Green Infrastructure). Whilst the policy is generally supported, representations were made to the 2018 pre-submission draft in respect of consistency with other policies in the plan (notably policies 20B-C) and to the guidance contained in the NPPF paras 96 and 97.	Full response RLPPS168 can be found at Appendix C (page 102)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	RLPPS277	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All other comments in respect of other sites remain.	Full response RLPPS277 can be found at Appendix C (page 107)
Sport England	RLPPS173	Yes	Sport England has previously made representations to support policy 20C and the associated justification in paragraphs 20.14-20.19, making reference to the evidence contained in the Council's Playing Pitch Strategy (PPS) and Built Sports Facilities	Full response RLPPS173 can be found at Appendix C (page 116)

APPENDIX E - RESPONSES TO BE WITHDRAWN FROM 2018 CONSULTATION

The following comments were entered in the comments to be withdrawn box (3b) of the Consultation Response Form 2019.

Respondent	Response No.	Respondent to 2018 Consultation?	If you would like to withdraw any / all of your previous comments please specify which ones	
			Strategy (BFS) regarding shortfalls of provision for various pitches and other sports facilities. Whilst Sport England wishes to maintain its support to the policy as a whole, further modifications to the policy are required to identify the mechanism for calculating demand from new developments and how this is to be applied to establish the level of onsite/off-site provision.	
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	RLPPS278	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All other comments in respect of other sites remain.	Full response RLPPS278 can be found at Appendix C (page 122)
Natural England	RLPPS203	Yes	<p><u>Sustainability appraisal (SA) comment re WFR/WC/15 Lea Castle Hospital site</u></p> <p>Reason: Our original concerns regarding hydrological linkage and issues focusing on water supply and water quality in respect of the Hurcott and Podmore Pools SSSI are explicitly addressed in the Kidderminster north Green Infrastructure Concept Plan, compliance with which forms a criterion in the list of principles for development of this site.</p> <p><u>Policy 31.2 Lea Castle Village principles of development</u></p> <p>Reason: As above – the N.Kidderminster GI Concept Plan addresses the water supply and water quality issue.</p> <p><u>SA comment re BR/BE/6 Land off Highclere</u></p> <p>Reason – this site no longer forms part of the plan's proposed allocations.</p> <p><u>SA comment on OC13 Land at Stone Hill</u></p> <p>Reason – SA makes clear reference to hydrological connection with Wilden Marsh & Meadows SSSI.</p> <p><u>Policy 14 b(iii) proportion of land allocated to GI within developments</u></p> <p>Reason – Policy 11D (Biodiversity) and NPPF provisions regarding previously developed land (e.g. glossary definition and relevant exclusions) provide adequate scope for site specific decisions on GI delivery on previously developed land.</p>	Full response RLPPS203 can be found at Appendix C (page 131)
Perks Iain	RLPPS116	Yes	Yes all	Full response RLPPS116 can be found at Appendix C (page 175)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	RLPPS279	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All other comments in respect of other sites remain.	Full response RLPPS279 can be found at Appendix C (page 177)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	RLPPS283	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All other comments in respect of other sites remain.	Full response RLPPS283 can be found at Appendix C (page 184)
Guest Simon	RLPPS166	Yes	I would like to withdraw my earlier comments and replace them with the revised comments to follow.	Full response RLPPS166 can be found at Appendix C (page 180)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	RLPPS280	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All	Full response RLPPS280 can be

APPENDIX E - RESPONSES TO BE WITHDRAWN FROM 2018 CONSULTATION

The following comments were entered in the comments to be withdrawn box (3b) of the Consultation Response Form 2019.

Respondent	Response No.	Respondent to 2018 Consultation?	If you would like to withdraw any / all of your previous comments please specify which ones	
			other comments in respect of other sites remain.	found at Appendix C (page 213)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	RLPPS281	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All other comments in respect of other sites remain.	Full response RLPPS281 can be found at Appendix C (page 216)

APPENDIX E - RESPONSES TO BE WITHDRAWN FROM 2018 CONSULTATION

The following comments were entered in the comments to be withdrawn box (3b) of the Consultation Response Form 2019.

Respondent	Response No.	Respondent to 2018 Consultation?	If you would like to withdraw any / all of your previous comments please specify which ones	
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	ALPPS279	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All other comments in respect of other sites remain.	Full response ALPPS279 can be found at Appendix D (page 46)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	ALPPS281	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All other comments in respect of other sites remain.	Full response ALPPS281 can be found at Appendix D (page 49)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	ALPPS51	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All other comments in respect of other sites remain. To avoid any confusion, this representation provides a comprehensive response and therefore is intended to replace that previously submitted in December 2018.	Full response ALPPS51 can be found at Appendix D (page 57)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	ALPPS274	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All other comments in respect of other sites remain. To avoid any confusion, this representation provides a comprehensive response and therefore is intended to replace that previously submitted in December 2018.	Full response ALPPS274 can be found at Appendix D (page 85)
Sport England	ALPPS167	Yes	Comments relating to Policy 6B and table 6.0.3 of the 2018 Pre-submission draft, now referred to as Policy AM6B and Table AM6.0.3 in the 2019 pre-submission draft.	Full response ALPPS167 can be found at Appendix D (page 88)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	ALPPS273	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All other comments in respect of other sites remain. To avoid any confusion, this representation provides a comprehensive response and therefore is intended to replace that previously submitted in December 2018.	Full response ALPPS273 can be found at Appendix D (page 90)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	ALPPS275	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All other comments in respect of other sites remain. To avoid any confusion, this representation provides a comprehensive response and therefore is intended to replace that previously submitted in December 2018.	Full response ALPPS 275 can be found at Appendix D (page 94)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	ALPPS276	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All other comments in respect of other sites remain. To avoid any confusion, this representation provides a comprehensive response and therefore is intended to replace that previously submitted in December 2018.	Full response ALPPS276 can be found at Appendix D (page 100)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	ALPPS277	Yes	Comments are withdrawn in respect of Taylor Wimpey's interests at Comberton Road ONLY. New comments are provided. All other comments in respect of other sites remain. To avoid any confusion, this representation provides a comprehensive response and therefore is intended to replace that previously submitted in December 2018.	Full response ALPPS277 can be found at Appendix D (page 103)

APPENDIX E - RESPONSES TO BE WITHDRAWN FROM 2018 CONSULTATION

The following comments were entered in the comments to be withdrawn box (3b) of the Consultation Response Form 2019.

Respondent	Response No.	Respondent to 2018 Consultation?	If you would like to withdraw any / all of your previous comments please specify which ones	
Natural England	ALPPS183	Yes	<p><u>Sustainability appraisal (SA) comment re WFR/WC/15 Lea Castle Hospital site</u></p> <p>Reason: Our original concerns regarding hydrological linkage and issues focusing on water supply and water quality in respect of the Hurcott and Podmore Pools SSSI are explicitly addressed in the Kidderminster north Green Infrastructure Concept Plan, compliance with which forms a criterion in the list of principles for development of this site.</p> <p><u>Policy 31.2 Lea Castle Village principles of development</u></p> <p>Reason: As above – the N.Kidderminster GI Concept Plan addresses the water supply and water quality issue.</p> <p><u>SA comment re BR/BE/6 Land off Highclere</u></p> <p>Reason – this site no longer forms part of the plan’s proposed allocations.</p> <p><u>SA comment on OC13 Land at Stone Hill</u></p> <p>Reason – SA makes clear reference to hydrological connection with Wilden Marsh & Meadows SSSI.</p> <p><u>Policy 14 b(iii) proportion of land allocated to GI within developments</u></p> <p>Reason – Policy 11D (Biodiversity) and NPPF provisions regarding previously developed land (e.g. glossary definition and relevant exclusions) provide adequate scope for site specific decisions on GI delivery on previously developed land.</p>	Full response ALPPS183 can be found at Appendix D (page 156)
Martin Jeffrey	ALPPS63	Yes	Grateful that planners have acknowledged the information they have been given and removed the Yew Tree Walk scheme (AKR/18 Appendix 6) from the plan.	Full response ALPPS63 can be found at Appendix D (page 179)
Wysocki Norbert	ALPPS162	Yes	Appendix 6 Justification for Key Site Amendments in Pre-submission Local Plan (July 2019) - Land off Yew Tree Walk - AKR/18 - justification for removal from Pre-Submission Local Plan (June 2019)	Full response ALPPS162 can be found at Appendix D (page 180)
Sport England	ALPPS176	Yes	<p>Sport England has previously objected to Policy 33.20 and the associated reasoned justification in para 33.39 relating to Minster Road Outdoor Sports Area, explaining that whilst Sport England welcomes that the policy encourages proposals to further develop outdoor sports facilities at the site, the policy did not sufficiently set out how this will be achieved, and did not provide appropriate reference to the Council’s Playing Pitch Strategy (PPS) and Built Sports Facilities Strategy (BFS). Modifications were recommended to address this point.</p> <p>The 2019 pre-submission draft has addressed this point by including additional text to policy AM33.21 and the associated reasoned justification in para AM33.40 to set out that proposals will be delivered via developer contributions and other funding sources in accordance with the PPS and BFS.</p> <p>Sport England therefore wishes to remove its objection as its concerns have now been addressed.</p>	Full response ALPPS176 can be found at Appendix D (page 197)

APPENDIX E - RESPONSES TO BE WITHDRAWN FROM 2018 CONSULTATION

The following comments were entered in the comments to be withdrawn box (3b) of the Consultation Response Form 2019. The Council considers it was not intended by the respondents to withdraw these comments. Their intent appears to be to add to their existing or submit further comments.

Respondent	Response No.	Respondent to 2018 Consultation?	If you would like to withdraw any / all of your previous comments please specify which ones	
Davies Maria	RLPPS32	Yes	Like everyone else I am concerned with the plans on Lea Castle Farm (traffic, pollution), the overall destruction of land which is both useful in terms of wildlife and absorption of traffic pollution on a busy road. The gateway into Broadwaters is at present heavy with traffic, whilst on the lanes close to Hurcott there are already signs of increase in vehicles, with plans to yet build on Hurcott way. Flooding is an increased risk to each of those areas.	Full response RLPPS32 can be found at Appendix C (page 21)
Bromsgrove & Redditch DC	RLPPS81	Yes	BDC made comments to the 2018 pre submission. Previous comments still stand and BDC would like to add to them, as per section 9 below.	Full response RLPPS81 can be found at Appendix C (page 81)
Bromsgrove & Redditch DC	RLPPS82	Yes	BDC made comments to the 2018 pre submission. Previous comments still stand and BDC would like to add to them, as per section 9 below.	Full response RLPPS82 can be found at Appendix C (page 90)
Lowe Donna	RLPPS40	Yes	As it seems it is going ahead regardless, a new bridge will be required over the railway so that the road continues straight on. An island at the top of Hurcott Lane is then a better solution instead of having two dangerous junctions on top of each other, i.e. top of Husum Way and another after the railway bridge on Husum Way, opposite Shakespeare Drive. Extra parking at Blakedown Station would then encourage extra traffic to go there also.	Full response RLPPS40 can be found at Appendix C (page 175)
Lowe Geoffrey	RLPPS41	Yes	I believe the revised local plan to be unsound, because not enough thought has been given to the new road which will run through the proposed development and a junction onto Husum Way very close to a blind summit i.e. The railway bridge. Even with traffic light control, this will cause major problems for local residents and commuters who will use this road as a bypass.	Full response RLPPS41 can be found at Appendix C (page 90)
Caulfield Austin	RLPPS246	Yes	The 2018 plan had no access to the proposed site. The 2019 plan shows access is totally unsafe to the local residents.	Full response RLPPS246 can be found at Appendix C (page 207)
Wright Susan	RLPPS24	Yes	Whilst the use of this land for the development of housing is welcome, the road infrastructure around Lichfield Street, Severn Road and Mitton Street is, in my opinion, unsuitable to cope with the additional daily traffic which will inevitably occur once the buildings are occupied. This together with the other housing developments proposed within the town location will cause even more traffic jams than are currently experienced on a daily basis. In this particular area however this is primarily due to the volume of traffic entering the town via Mitton Street, trying to exit Severn Road and access Vale Road. Are there any plans to assist with the flow of traffic in this triangle? I suspect road widening is not an option due to the number of dwellings in Mitton Street, have more traffic lights with sophisticated synchronised controls been considered to encompass Worcester Road island (by redundant Parsons Chain site where further houses are proposed), Mitton Street, Severn Road, bottom of vale road? Enlargement of traffic island by Parsons Chain site and widening or entry points to island to allow traffic to flow more quickly in all directions at this pinch point.	Full response RLPPS24 can be found at Appendix C (page 225)
Westcott C	RLPPS175	No	If houses are built on the golf course and the Kingsway is closed off to traffic this will mean that the traffic that now uses the Kingsway will use Windermere Way. Windermere Way is already a speeding problem - in Windermere Way and many cars cannot keep to their side of the road on bends. Any extra houses in this area will be a bigger problem. Bewdley Road North would have been a much better site for the extra houses. L1/5	Full response RLPPS175 can be found at Appendix C (page 254)
Pulford Grahame and Mrs Carol	RLPPS110	Yes	I wish for WFDC to acknowledge further environmental concerns on the fore mentioned site, now that two TPO's and after a third round of constructive consultations and discussions with planning consultation officers update our objection.	Full response RLPPS110 can be found at Appendix C (page 264)
Rock Parish Council	RLPPS214	Yes	Rock Parish Council considered a request from Wyre Forest District Council to resubmit our comments relating to the emerging District Local Plan for 2016-2036. The Clerk advises that the letter from Wyre Forest asked for comments on new	Full response RLPPS214 can be found at Appendix C (page 284)

APPENDIX E - RESPONSES TO BE WITHDRAWN FROM 2018 CONSULTATION

The following comments were entered in the comments to be withdrawn box (3b) of the Consultation Response Form 2019. The Council considers it was not intended by the respondents to withdraw these comments. Their intent appears to be to add to their existing or submit further comments.

Respondent	Response No.	Respondent to 2018 Consultation?	If you would like to withdraw any / all of your previous comments please specify which ones	
			<p>sites identified and that previously submitted comments did not need to be made again.</p> <p>The Council focused its thoughts on the Lem Hill Nursery Site that has been added to the emerging District Local Plan without being given the opportunity for the public to give its view previously. The Parish Council RESOLVED to totally oppose this site moving forward in the process. The Lem Hill Nursery Site has always been known as Bill White Nurseries. It is completely outside the Far Forest Settlement Boundary which should be respected. The site has poor access off the busy A4117 with no Street Lighting existing at Far Forest.</p> <p>The current nursery land suffers from surface water flooding in bad weather. The Local Primary School is over-subscribed, and the site is landlocked for any possibility of future expansion.</p> <p>Development on this site would put severe pressure on the Education Authorities in finding placements in Schools outside of the Parish Boundary. Far Forest does not have good local amenities for a Village of its size and adding up to 20 dwellings would add severe pressure on the already over stretched Doctors Surgeries and Dentist practices in both Bewdley & Cleobury.</p> <p>Highways is a major concern to the Parish Council as the access off the current A4117 is within the 40mph zone and we are constantly seeing speeds of 60MPH at this junction. Worcestershire County Council recommended refusal to a planning Application No 19/0351/Full.</p> <p>For a single dwelling that was supported and refused by your Planning Development Control Committee as the; "site was within the 40 MPH speed limit and in the vicinity of the site the carriageway is narrow with no overtaking" and this environment is not considered to be conducive due to the undulating nature of the road. They also referred to the lack of facilities for shopping as we have a one stop shop that can only support day to day local needs. Sustainable travel options was a key reason for objecting as education facilities, health services, leisure venues, retail outlets and employment sites are limited and reliance would be placed on a motor vehicle.</p> <p>They concluded their recommendations stating lack of accessibility by sustainable modes is contrary to Paragraph 108 and 110 NPPF and the resulting highway safety hazard is also contrary to Paragraph 109 NPPF. It is worth noting Worcestershire Highways carried out a robust assessment of this planning application and it would have a severe impact on the road network".</p> <p>Rock Parish Council supports these views in this submission. We also point out Worcestershire County Council provide inadequate bus provision for a village the size of Far Forest and there would be no increased provision should a scheme of this size go ahead.</p>	
Holmes	RLPPS44	Yes	No	Full response RLPPS44 can be found at Appendix C (page 287)

APPENDIX E - RESPONSES TO BE WITHDRAWN FROM 2018 CONSULTATION

The following comments were entered in the comments to be withdrawn box (3b) of the Consultation Response Form 2019. The Council considers it was not intended by the respondents to withdraw these comments. Their intent appears to be to add to their existing or submit further comments.

Respondent	Response No.	Respondent to 2018 Consultation?	If you would like to withdraw any / all of your previous comments please specify which ones	
Bromsgrove & Redditch DC	ALPPS123	Yes	BDC made comments to the 2018 pre submission. Previous comments still stand and BDC would like to add to them, as per section 9 below.	Full response ALPPS123 can be found at Appendix D (page 14)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	ALPPS280	Yes	No comments are withdrawn. Additional comments are provided.	Full response ALPPS280 can be found at Appendix D (page 34)
Gladman Developments Ltd	ALPPS130	Yes	Gladman's previous representations still stand; the comments made in this submission are additional comments in response to the proposed amendments.	Full response ALPPS130 can be found at Appendix D (page 63)
Gladman Developments Ltd	ALPPS131	Yes	Gladman's previous representations still stand; the comments made in this submission are additional comments in response to the proposed amendments.	Full response ALPPS131 can be found at Appendix D (page 77)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	ALPPS227	Yes	No comments are withdrawn. Additional comments are provided	Full response ALPPS227 can be found at Appendix D (page 78)
Gladman Developments Ltd	ALPPS133	Yes	Gladman's previous representations still stand; the comments made in this submission are additional comments in response to the proposed amendments.	Full response ALPPS133 can be found at Appendix D (page 81)
Gladman Developments Ltd	ALPPS134	Yes	Gladman's previous representations still stand; the comments made in this submission are additional comments in response to the proposed amendments.	Full response ALPPS 134 can be found at Appendix D (page 83)
Taylor Wimpey West Midlands	ALPPS224	Yes	No comments are withdrawn. Additional comments are provided.	Full response ALPPS224 can be found at Appendix D (page 92)
Gladman Developments Ltd	ALPPS135	Yes	Gladman's previous representations still stand; the comments made in this submission are additional comments in response to the proposed amendments.	Full response ALPPS135 can be found at Appendix D (page 98)
Edwards Diana	ALPPS2	No	I don't recall any specific plans for Blakedown station car park being submitted or accepted before this proposal circulated in July to the residents.	Full response ALPPS2 can be found at Appendix D (page 114)
Belshaw Pauline	ALPPS64	No	Removal from the plan of land near Yew Tree Walk, Stourport.	Full response ALPPS64 can be found at Appendix D (page 177)
Yew Tree Walk Ltd	ALPPS210	Yes	The site AKR/18 at Yew Tree Walk, Stourport on Severn is not currently identified as a preferred allocation in the Local Plan preferred options document. The plan, it is submitted, would be considered sound if site AKR/18 was to be allocated (see attached representations) in particular paragraph 42.	Full response ALPPS210 can be found at Appendix D (page 181)
Gladman Developments Ltd	ALPPS136	Yes	Gladman's previous representations still stand; the comments made in this submission are additional comments in response to the proposed amendments.	Full response ALPPS136 can be found at Appendix D (page 329)