## WYRE FOREST DISTRICT COUNCIL

## **PLANNING COMMITTEE**

## 21<sup>ST</sup> SEPTEMBER 2021

## ADDENDA AND CORRECTIONS

REFERENCE NO.	PAGE	ADDENDA AND CORRECTIONS	
PART B			
20/0892/FUL	73	Stourport Town Council – Comments on Revised Plan and following additional information provided.  The issues raised by our Planning Committee have now been addressed by the responses from expert consultees.  There remains a wider concern around the traffic flows and volumes within Stourport Town, and potential for this development to make this even worse, but we also appreciate this is not a valid Planning reason for refusal.	
	93	The Town Council no longer wish to speak on this item.  Officer Comments Following additional discussions with the Developer in respect of renewable and low carbon energy requirements, they have stated;	
		As a company we believe that providing enhanced fabric first measures offer greater long-term benefit to a development, however members I can confirm that we agreeable in principle to provision of PV to 10% of the development (i.e 13 plots).	
		This additional provision can be secured by an additional condition.  Additional Condition  26. Provision of a Photovoltaic Panels to a minimum of 13 plots. Details to be submitted and agreed with the LPA.	

·	7	
21/0701/HOU		<u>Corrections</u> –
		The public speaker no longer wishes to address the committee on this application.
	106	Paragraph 2.4 Third Bullet Point should read "Discharge of rainwater goods on land owned by <b>49 Oakfield Road</b> "
		Add additional information –
	106	Additional Comments received from owner of the neighbouring property;
		"I wish to make it known that rainwater goods from my conservatory currently discharge into a shared gutter which runs along my side of the original boundary wall. The shared gutter drains into a fallpipe which empties rainwater goods into a drain on land which is undisputedly in the ownership of 49 Oakfield Road. I feel a drainage condition should be added, since 48 Oakfield Road will no doubt still require the use of the drain residing on my land, and I will only object to this if the watercourse from my conservatory is not (sympathetically) rerouted to my drain without cost to myself."